

Signal, Noise, And What Matters

The Rally Is Back, But It Is Getting Narrow Again

A Fortified Wealth Strategies briefing for clients, prospects, business owners, and professional advisors.

SIGNAL, NOISE, AND WHAT MATTERS

This Month's Briefing

A monthly Fortified Wealth briefing that separates the financial headline from the planning issue. Each piece looks at what is actually happening, what may be overstated or misunderstood, and what matters for families, business owners, and professional advisors making real financial decisions.

What People Are Hearing

The market rally is back in the headlines, and artificial intelligence remains one of the biggest reasons. Recent market coverage has shown the same pattern several times: AI and semiconductor stocks rally, investors regain confidence, and the major indexes move higher.

That is not just hype. Companies are still spending heavily on chips, cloud infrastructure, data centers, power, software, and memory, and that spending is becoming a major part of the earnings story for the largest companies in the market.

But there is another side to the story. A broad market index can look healthy even when much of the performance is coming from a relatively small group of companies, so investors should understand how much of their portfolio may now depend on one theme continuing to work.

Signal

The signal is that artificial intelligence has become a real economic force, not just a stock market slogan. Goldman Sachs Research has estimated that AI investment could drive roughly 40% of S&P 500 earnings growth this year, with the largest cloud computing companies planning to spend an estimated \$670 billion in 2026.

The S&P 500 is often described as a broad measure of large U.S. companies. S&P Dow Jones Indices says the index includes 500 leading companies and covers approximately 80% of available U.S. market capitalization, but the

index is capitalization-weighted, so the largest companies have the greatest impact on performance.

That distinction matters now. J.P. Morgan Asset Management recently noted that the top ten stocks represented 40.8% of the S&P 500, well above the 26.6% level reached at the peak of the technology bubble, though those companies also contribute a meaningful share of index earnings.

Noise

The noise is the constant attempt to turn every short-term move in AI or chip stocks into a major conclusion. One day, investors are told the AI rally is intact; the next day, semiconductor stocks fall, and the question becomes whether the whole theme is cracking.

That kind of reversal is normal in a concentrated market. When a narrow group of stocks drives performance, every earnings report, capital spending announcement, product update, regulatory headline, or geopolitical development can feel larger than it really is.

It also creates a behavioral problem for investors. If you do not own enough of the leading names, it can feel like you are falling behind, while owning too much of them may not feel risky as long as they are still working.

What Matters

What matters is understanding the difference between owning a broad index and owning a balanced portfolio. The S&P 500 may contain hundreds of companies, but that does not mean every company has the same effect on return, risk, or the emotional experience of staying invested.

When the largest companies rise, index returns can look strong even if many stocks underneath the surface are not participating. When those same companies fall, a portfolio that looked broadly diversified may behave more like a concentrated technology or AI portfolio than the investor expected.

The practical takeaway is not that investors should abandon large U.S. companies, technology, or AI. The practical takeaway is that allocation still matters, because diversification is not about avoiding the winners; it is about making sure a financial plan does not depend too heavily on one group of winners staying in favor forever.

Questions Worth Asking

- How much of our equity exposure now depends on the largest few companies?
- If the AI trade pulled back sharply, would that change the financial plan, or would it only change the account statement?
- Are we intentionally concentrated, or has concentration built up without much thought?
- Are we comparing a diversified portfolio to a narrow group of recent winners and treating that comparison as a failure of the plan?
- Does the portfolio still match spending needs, tax situation, business plans, estate goals, and tolerance for volatility?

Closing Thought

Diversification often feels least useful right before it matters most. When one part of the market is leading, everything else can feel like dead weight, and the pressure to chase recent performance can become stronger.

A strong plan does not require predicting exactly when leadership will change, or whether AI stocks have further to run. It requires knowing what you own, why you own it, and how much of your future depends on one theme continuing to work.

AI may continue to be one of the most important investment stories of this decade. But even a real story can become crowded, and even great companies can become too large a share of an investor's risk.

Sources

- Goldman Sachs Research, US Stocks Are Forecast To Rise 6% In 2026, April 29, 2026.
- J.P. Morgan Asset Management, How Extreme Is Market Concentration?, May 20, 2026.
- S&P Dow Jones Indices, S&P 500 index description.
- Associated Press, How Major US Stock Indexes Fared Monday 7/6/2026.
- Reuters, Nasdaq Slides Over 1% As Chip Rout Deepens, July 7, 2026.

Disclosure

This material is for informational purposes only and should not be considered individualized investment, tax, or legal advice. Investment strategies involve risk, and past performance does not guarantee future results.

Market conditions, interest rates, inflation, earnings, and valuations may change. Clients should consult their financial, tax, and legal advisors before making decisions based on their individual circumstances.