

Signal, Noise, And What Matters: The Charitable Deduction Now Has A Deductible

Why 2026 Changes How Families Should Time And Structure Giving

What People Are Hearing

Charitable giving has a new tax rule in 2026, and the headline version sounds simple: the charitable deduction now has a floor. For taxpayers who itemize, only aggregate charitable contributions above 0.5% of adjusted gross income may be claimed as an itemized charitable deduction.

That means a household with \$400,000 of adjusted gross income generally receives no itemized charitable deduction for the first \$2,000 contributed during the year. At \$1 million of adjusted gross income, the first \$5,000 falls below the floor. A \$25,000 gift in that second example may produce a potential itemized deduction of \$20,000 - not the full \$25,000 - before applying the other charitable contribution limits and tax rules.

The same law also created a separate deduction for some taxpayers who do not itemize. Beginning in 2026, nonitemizers may deduct up to \$1,000, or \$2,000 for married couples filing jointly, for qualifying cash contributions to certain eligible organizations.

Those two changes point in opposite directions. More taxpayers may receive some tax benefit for giving, while itemizers who make larger gifts lose a portion of the deduction they previously expected. The result is not a reason to give more or less automatically. It is a reason to understand which rule applies before year-end.

Signal

The signal is that the 0.5% floor is a real annual cost for itemizers, especially families who make steady gifts that are meaningful but modest relative to their income. The floor resets each year. It is not a one-time hurdle, and crossing it does not restore a deduction for the amount below the floor.

That distinction matters. A taxpayer with \$500,000 of adjusted gross income has a \$2,500 floor. If the taxpayer contributes \$10,000 during the year, the potential itemized charitable deduction begins at \$7,500. The first \$2,500 is not recovered merely because the total gift exceeded the floor.

The rule also makes the source of a gift more important. A qualified charitable distribution from an IRA is generally excluded from income when it is paid directly to an eligible charity under the QCD rules. It is not claimed as an itemized charitable deduction, so the new itemized-deduction floor does not directly reduce the excluded amount. A gift of appreciated securities may also avoid recognizing embedded capital gain while producing a charitable deduction, although that deduction is still subject to the new floor and the other percentage, property, substantiation, and recipient rules.

A donor-advised fund can help some families separate the year of the tax deduction from the years in which charities receive grants. The deduction generally occurs when the donor contributes to the sponsoring organization, not when the

fund later recommends grants. That can make grouped or 'bunched' giving more practical, but it also means the contribution is irrevocable and the sponsoring organization has legal control of the assets.

The practical signal is not that one strategy has suddenly become best. It is that charitable intent, taxable income, the type of asset being donated, and the timing of the deduction now need to be considered together.

Noise

The first noisy conclusion is that charitable gifts below the floor no longer matter. They matter exactly as much to the organization receiving them. The tax code changes the donor's deduction, not the value of the gift to a church, foundation, school, food bank, or other charity.

The second noisy conclusion is that everyone should bunch several years of giving into one year. Bunching may help a taxpayer exceed the new floor and the standard deduction in a selected year, but it can also create cash-flow problems, reduce flexibility, complicate support for charities that depend on regular contributions, or provide little benefit if the taxpayer would not itemize anyway.

The third noisy conclusion is that qualified charitable distributions solve the problem for every retiree. QCDs are available only under specific IRA, age, transfer, and recipient rules. They may be useful because they can satisfy charitable intent without increasing adjusted gross income, but they are not interchangeable with donor-advised funds, appreciated-security gifts, private-foundation grants, or ordinary checks.

The fourth noisy conclusion is that the tax deduction should determine the size of the gift. A deduction reduces taxable income; it does not reimburse the donor dollar for dollar. Giving an additional dollar solely to save a fraction of that dollar in tax does not create wealth. The strategy should improve the delivery of a gift the family already wants to make, not manufacture a reason to spend more.

What Matters

Start with purpose. How much does the family want to give, to whom, and on what schedule? A tax strategy should support that intent rather than replace it. Families that want a church or nonprofit to receive stable annual support can continue that support even if they group the tax deduction into selected years through a donor-advised fund or a larger direct contribution.

Next, estimate adjusted gross income and itemized deductions before the year is nearly over. The floor is based on adjusted gross income, so a business sale, Roth conversion, large capital gain, bonus, option exercise, or unusually strong business year can raise the dollar amount that is nondeductible. The same event may also create a higher marginal tax rate or a concentration of appreciated assets that makes charitable planning more valuable. Those effects should be modeled together rather than judged from the floor alone.

Then choose the asset deliberately. Cash is simple, but it may be the most expensive asset to give when the family owns publicly traded securities with substantial unrealized gains. Appreciated assets can create two potential tax benefits - avoiding capital gain and receiving a charitable deduction - but only when the holding period, recipient, valuation, and documentation rules are satisfied. For eligible IRA owners, a QCD may be more useful than taking a taxable distribution and then writing a check.

Timing also deserves attention. A family that normally gives \$20,000 every year may choose to keep the charitable support steady while funding several years of grants into a donor-advised fund during a high-income year. Another family may

prefer direct annual gifts because simplicity, control, or the charity's immediate need matters more than maximizing a deduction. The tax result is one part of the decision, not the whole decision.

Finally, coordinate the charitable plan with the estate plan. Retirement accounts, taxable investments, business interests, life insurance, and testamentary gifts do not all produce the same result for heirs or charities. A family may be giving from cash during life while leaving highly tax-inefficient assets to children and tax-efficient assets to charity. Annual giving, beneficiary designations, and legacy planning should tell the same story.

The 2026 rule does not require a more complicated charitable life. It requires an intentional one. The family, financial advisor, CPA, and attorney should know what the gifts are meant to accomplish and which tool is being used before the transaction becomes irreversible.

Questions Worth Asking

- What do we expect our 2026 adjusted gross income to be, and what is 0.5% of that amount?
- Will we itemize deductions this year, or will the new nonitemizer cash deduction apply instead?
- How much of our planned giving would fall below the new floor?
- Are we giving cash while holding appreciated securities that could be donated more efficiently?
- Are we eligible to use qualified charitable distributions from an IRA, and are the intended recipients eligible?
- Would grouping several years of deductions into one year improve the result without disrupting the charities we support?
- Would a donor-advised fund help us maintain regular grants, or would it add cost and complexity without enough benefit?
- Do we have an unusually high-income year, business transaction, capital gain, or Roth conversion that should be coordinated with giving?
- Are our receipts, acknowledgments, appraisals, and transfer records sufficient for the type and size of each contribution?
- Do our annual gifts, beneficiary designations, and estate documents carry out the same charitable priorities?

Closing Thought

The new rule does not change what a charitable gift means to the organization receiving it. It changes how much of that gift may be recognized on Schedule A.

That makes autopilot less reliable. Writing the same checks at the same time from the same account may still be the right decision, but it should now be a conscious decision. For some families, the better answer will be a qualified charitable distribution. For others, it may be appreciated securities, a donor-advised fund, a larger direct gift in a selected year, or simply continuing the current approach because the charitable purpose matters more than the deduction.

The goal is not to let the tax code dictate generosity. The goal is to make sure the family's generosity, tax plan, investment plan, and estate plan are working together.

Sources

- Internal Revenue Service, Publication 505 (2026), Tax Withholding and Estimated Tax. <https://www.irs.gov/publications/p505>
- Internal Revenue Service, Topic No. 506, Charitable Contributions, updated July 2026. <https://www.irs.gov/taxtopics/tc506>
- Congress.gov, H.R. 1, Sections 70424 and 70425, nonitemizer deduction and 0.5% itemized charitable floor. <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/1>
- Internal Revenue Service, Publication 590-B, Distributions from Individual Retirement Arrangements, qualified charitable distribution rules. <https://www.irs.gov/publications/p590b>
- Internal Revenue Service, Publication 526, Charitable Contributions. <https://www.irs.gov/publications/p526>
- Internal Revenue Service, Donor-Advised Funds. <https://www.irs.gov/charities-non-profits/charitable-organizations/donor-advised-funds>
- Bipartisan Policy Center, How the New Charitable Deduction Floors Work, November 17, 2025. <https://bipartisanpolicy.org/issue-brief/how-the-new-charitable-deduction-floors-work/>

Disclosure

This material is provided for informational and educational purposes only. It is not intended as investment, tax, legal, or accounting advice, and it should not be relied upon as a substitute for individualized professional guidance. Charitable contribution deductions depend on adjusted gross income, itemization status, the type of property contributed, the receiving organization, holding periods, substantiation, appraisal requirements, contribution limits, carryforwards, and other tax rules. Qualified charitable distributions are subject to separate age, account, transfer, annual-limit, and recipient requirements, and an excluded QCD cannot also be claimed as a charitable contribution deduction. Donor-advised-fund contributions are irrevocable, and the sponsoring organization has legal control of contributed assets. Tax laws and administrative guidance may change. Clients should consult their financial advisor, CPA, and attorney regarding their individual circumstances before implementing a charitable strategy.

Prepared by Fortified Wealth Strategies, Inc.